1	Law Office of Jesse J. Garcia 5776 Stoneridge Mall Road Suite 390	
2 3	Pleasanton, California 94588 Telephone: 510-782-7580	
4	Facsimile: 925-665-1600 Email: office@jessejgarcialaw.com	
5	Jesse J. Garcia [CSBN: 61229]	
6	Attorney for Defendant	
7	Robert Godinez	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SACRAMENTO COURTHOUSE	
12		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:23-cr-0161-DAD
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO FILE THIRD PARTY CITY OF HAYWARD POLICE
15	VS.	DEPARTMENT'S RELPY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA BY
16	ROBERT GODINEZ,	DEFENDANT UNDER SEAL
17	Defendant.	FILED UNDER SEAL
18		
19	Based upon Defense Counsel's representation contained in the Defendant's Notice of	
20	Request to Seal Documents, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the multiple page document and	
21 22	the Defendant's Notice of Request to Seal Documents shall be SEALED until further order of	
23	this Court nunc pro tunc.	
24	It is further ORDERED that access to the sealed documents shall be limited to the City	
25	of Hayward and counsel for the defendant to which the documents pertain.	
26	//	
27	//	
28	LINITED STATES V POREDT COL	DINEZ (CASE NO · 2·23-CR.0161-DAD)
	UNITED STATES V. ROBERT GODINEZ (CASE NO.: 2:23-CR-0161-DAD) [PROPOSED] ORDER TO FILE THIRD PARTY CITY OF HAYWARD POLICE DEPARTMENT'S REPLY	

UNITED STATES V. ROBERT GODINEZ (CASE NO.: 2:23-CR-0161-DAD)
[PROPOSED] ORDER TO FILE THIRD PARTY CITY OF HAYWARD POLICE DEPARTMENT'S REPLY
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA BY DEFENDANT UNDER SEAL
PAGE 1 OF 2

Case 2:23-cr-00161-DAD Document 67 Filed 03/19/25 Page 2 of 2

The Court finds that, for the reasons stated in the Defendant's Notice, sealing Defendant, Robert Godinez's Request to file Third Party City of Hayward Police Department's Reply in Support of Motion to Quash Subpoena by Defendant serves a compelling interest.

The Court further finds that, in the absence of disclosure, the compelling interests identified by the Defendant would be harmed.

In light of the public filing of its request to seal, the Court further finds that there are no additional alternatives to sealing that would adequately protect the compelling interests identified by the Defendant.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: March 17, 2025

ALLISON CLAIRE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

auson Clane